

Attachment 5

Location	Pro's	Con's
Fromson Strassler	Town has no current plans for the land; not highly visible from road; significant acreage on total piece of property - approx 2.5 acres would be suitable for a dog park.	If accessing via private, dead-end road (Upper Parish Drive): significant cost to build a driveway from the private road to our park, significant costs associated with taking ownership of the private road and rebuilding and maintaining it; very close (.2 mile) from Georgetown border - would attract residents of Wilton, Redding and Ridgefield; heavy coyote population; requires access to property by traveling under high tension electrical wires. If accessing via quasi private/public dead-end road (Samuelson): significant cost to build a driveway from the private road to our park, driveway would be very close to homes at end of Samuelson; significant costs associated with taking ownership of the private road and rebuilding and maintaining it; very close from Georgetown border - would attract residents of Wilton, Redding and Ridgefield; heavy coyote population.
LaChat Farm	Excellent topography; sufficient parking; accessible via state road.	Deed restriction prohibits a dog park on this property. A dog park does not fit within the Lachat Farm strategic plan.
Transfer Station	Town has no current plans for the land;	Proximity to Landfill. Accessing a dog park via the current driveway and road network would present safety and security concerns given the heavy equipment, metal containers, recyclables, wood chip pile and limited hours of operation. It may be possible to access the Transfer Station parcel via other roads, but 1) land beyond the cell tower appears to be unsuitable due to streams and adjacent homes at significantly higher elevation (noise would carry to the homes); 2) homes would be in close proximity to access roads; and 3) road construction could be very expensive.
Morehouse Farm Park	Parking and driveway already in existence; accessible via state road.	Parking is already challenging during peak athletic field use; Land available at the northern end would be too close to the playing fields for a dog park and under the 2 acre target for a dog area; land available at the west end would be very close to housing structures and require dogs crossing fields. Currently, there are NO dogs allowed at the Park. Parks & Rec Commission opposes dog park at Morehouse Farm Park, citing the fact that existing fields are heavily utilized and therefore not available to be converted into a dog park.
Jarvis House	Central location. Existing driveway already in place; accessible via state road.	Insufficient acreage on parcel for both dog runs and parking. A neighboring house is about 60 feet away from the grass at Jarvis.
Keene Park	Driveway and parking already in place.	Insufficient acreage due to extensive wetlands and flood plain. Park is underneath power lines.
Bisceglie Park	Driveway and parking already in place; accessible via state road.	Insufficient acreage due to wetlands and flood plain. Parking is already challenging with three baseball games taking place simultaneously.
School Property (fields near onion barn)	Central location. Driveway parking already in place (at Onion Barn); accessible via state road.	BOE is opposed to dog park on school grounds, citing no available land to give up and potential security concerns.
Aspetuck Land Trust	Town would not have to provide land.	No land available from Aspetuck for a dog park; There is a prohibition against fenced parks.
Nature Conservancy	Town would not have to provide land.	Dog parks not allowed.
Moore Property	Town has no current plans for the land; excellent topography for dog park; not highly visible from road; dog park group willing to raise significant funds to build a park at this location.	Neighborhood opposition.